	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/921,922	TROLINDER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David H Kruse	1638
All Participants: Status of Application: Allowance		
(1) <u>David H Kruse</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David H Milligan</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 June 2004	Time: <u><i>PM</i></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		
Claims discussed: 22 £ 28		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's attorney approved minor amendment to claim 22 and to the Title and Abstract, and correction of dependency for claim 28.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)